Linear-Threshold Modeling

of Brain Network Dynamics

Erfan Nozari

University of California, San Diego Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering Department of Cognitive Science http://carmenere.ucsd.edu/erfan

Joint work with: Prof. Jorge Cortés

2019 American Control Conference July 9, 2019

Overview

- Brain as a networked dynamical system
- New: rapid advancements in neuro-technologies
- Critical applications in
 - $\checkmark\,$ Deep brain stimulation (DBS)
 - ✓ Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS)
 - ✓ Brain-machine/computer interfaces (BMI/BCI)
 - Optogenetics
 - ✓ :







[Osborn et al, Sci Robot, 2018]



[Chen et al, Science, 2018]

Outline





Outline





Starting Point: Biophysical Spiking Models

• Conductance-based (a.k.a. Hudgkin-Huxley) models:



- Input = current, output = voltage
- Nonlinear (active) & time-varying resistors ⇒ excitable behavior (spiking)

$$\mathsf{output} \simeq \sum_{t_s} \delta(t - t_s)$$



Data from [Henze et al, CRCNS, 2009]

[Image Att: Behrang Amini, Wikimedia.org]

Mean-Field Approximation: Rate Dynamics

- Often, it seems that information mostly encoded in firing rate (#spikes/s)
- $x_i(t) =$ firing rate of neuron *i*



- Simplifying assumptions:
 - 1. Poisson spiking
- 2a. For constant input $I_{in,i}$

$$x_i = \sigma(I_{\mathsf{in},i})$$

2b. For time-varying input $I_{\text{in},i}(t)$

$$\tau \dot{x}_i(t) = -x_i(t) + \sigma(I_{\text{in},i}(t))$$



3. Slowly varying inputs $I_{\text{in},i}(t)$ ($\gg \tau$)

Network Dynamics

- Node = population of neurons
- State = average firing rate
- Network dynamics (mean-field approximation):

 $\tau \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = -\mathbf{x}(t) + \sigma \big(\mathbf{W} \mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{p}(t) \big)$





Two popular approximations:

 \checkmark Kuramoto: Cubic approximation in \mathbf{x}_i , linearization in $\{W_{ij}\}$, change to polar coordinates

$$\dot{\theta}_i = \omega_i + \sum_j K_{ij} \sin(\theta_j - \theta_i)$$

- \rightarrow For weakly-coupled oscillators, explicit phase dynamics, $\frac{n}{2}$ states, smooth
- ✓ Linear-Threshold: Piecewise-linearization of $\sigma(\cdot)$

$$\tau_i \dot{x}_i = -x_i + \left[\sum_j W_{ij} x_j + p_i\right]_0^m$$



 \rightarrow For arbitrary dynamics, implicit phase and amplitude (oscillations), switched-affine

Outline





Linear-Threshold Networks as Switched-Affine Systems

$$\tau_i \dot{x}_i = -x_i + \Big[\underbrace{\sum_j W_{ij} x_j + p_i}_{I_{\text{in},i}}\Big]_0^{m_i}$$



 $\checkmark\,$ Solution exist in the classical sense (C^1) and is unique

✓ State space:

$$[\mathbf{0},\mathbf{m}] = [0,m_1] \times [0,m_2] \times \cdots \times [0,m_n]$$

 $\checkmark\,$ Dynamics of each node i can be in $3 \mbox{ modes} \Rightarrow 3^n$ switching regions

$$\begin{cases} \tau_i \dot{x}_i = -x_i & \text{if} & I_{\text{in},i} \leq 0\\ \tau_i \dot{x}_i = -x_i + I_{\text{in},i} & \text{if} & 0 \leq I_{\text{in},i} \leq m_i\\ \tau_i \dot{x}_i = -x_i + m_i & \text{if} & m_i \leq I_{\text{in},i} \end{cases}$$

✓ Switched-affine representation:

$$\tau \dot{\mathbf{x}} = (-\mathbf{I} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{x})}^{\ell} \mathbf{W}) \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{x})}^{\ell} \mathbf{p} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{x})}^{s} \mathbf{m}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{x}) \in \{0, \ell, s\}^{n}$$

Complex & Nonlinear Dynamics

- \checkmark Wide range of complex behavior, including
 - 1. Monostability



2. Multistability



3. Limit cycles



4. Chaos



Some definitions:

- $\bullet~\mathbf{W}\in\mathcal{H}$ if all its principal submatrices are Hurwitz
- $\mathbf{W} \in \mathcal{P}$ if all its principal minors are positive
- $\mathbf{W} \in \mathcal{L}$ if there exists $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}^T > \mathbf{0}$ such that for all $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in \{0,1\}^n$

 $(-\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{W}^T \mathsf{diag}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}))\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{P}(-\mathbf{I} + \mathsf{diag}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})\mathbf{W}) < \mathbf{0}$



• The stronger or larger a network, the more unstable it becomes



- ? Brain networks are large and become stronger with learning (without losing stability!)
- \Rightarrow Need for **stabilization mechanisms**:
 - $\circ~$ via structure $\mathbf{W} \rightarrow$ homeostasis (re-normalizing rows of $\mathbf{W})$
 - via input $\mathbf{p}(t) \rightarrow ?$

Selective Stabilization via Inhibitory Control

- Input decomposition: $\mathbf{p}(t) = \mathbf{Bu}(t) + \tilde{\mathbf{p}}$
- Stabilization can/should be selective

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}^{\circ} \\ \mathbf{x}^{1} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{v}} \text{to be stabilized} \\ \xrightarrow{\mathbf{x}} \text{ arbitrary (active)}$$

Theorem: Inhibitory Stabilization

Assume

$$\mathbf{u}(t) \equiv \bar{\mathbf{u}}$$
 or $\mathbf{u}(t) = \mathbf{K}\mathbf{x}(t)$

If $\dim(\mathbf{u}) \geq \dim(\mathbf{x}^{\circ})$, there exists $\mathbf{u}(t)$ such that

$$\mathbf{x}(t) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{GES}} \mathbf{x}^* = (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{x}^{*^1})$$

if and only if the $\mathbf{x}^{^{1}}$ sub-dynamics is internally GES



• Layer dynamics:

$$\tau_i \dot{\mathbf{x}}_i(t) = -\mathbf{x}_i(t) + \left[\mathbf{W}_{i,i} \mathbf{x}_i(t) + \mathbf{p}_i(t) \right]_{\mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{m}}$$

1. Selective activity/stabilization:

$$\mathbf{x}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\circ} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{to}} \text{ to be stabilized} \quad , \quad \mathbf{W}_{i,j} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{W}_{i,j}^{\circ\circ} & \mathbf{W}_{i,j}^{\circ1} \\ \mathbf{W}_{i,j}^{1\circ} & \mathbf{W}_{i,j}^{11} \end{bmatrix}$$

2. Chain topology (information processing pathways):

$$\mathbf{p}_i(t) = \mathbf{B}_i \mathbf{u}_i(t) + \mathbf{W}_{i,i-1} \mathbf{x}_{i-1}(t) + \mathbf{W}_{i,i+1} \mathbf{x}_{i+1}(t) + \mathbf{c}_i$$

3. Timescale separation:

$$\tau_1 > \tau_2 > \cdots > \tau_i > \cdots > \tau_N$$



Theorem: Hierarchical Stabilization & Tracking

Assume $\dim(\mathbf{u}_i) \geq \dim(\mathbf{x}_i^{\circ})$ for all *i*. There exists

$$\mathbf{u}_i(t) = \mathbf{K}_i \mathbf{x}_i(t) + \bar{\mathbf{u}}_i(t), \qquad \forall i$$

such that

as

$$\forall i \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\circ}(t) \rightarrow \mathbf{0} & \text{(Inhibitory Stabilization)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i}^{1}(t) \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_{i}^{*^{1}}(\mathbf{W}_{i,i-1}^{11}\mathbf{x}_{i-1}^{1}(t) + \mathbf{c}_{i}^{1}) & \text{(Tracking)} \\ \\ \frac{\tau_{i}}{\tau_{i-1}} \rightarrow 0, & \forall i \end{cases}$$

if

$$\tau_i \dot{\mathbf{x}}_i^{\,1}(t) = -\mathbf{x}_i^{\,1}(t) + [\mathbf{W}_{i,i}^{\,11} \mathbf{x}_i^{\,1}(t) + \mathbf{W}_{i,i+1}^{\,11} \mathbf{x}_{i+1}^{*1} (\mathbf{W}_{i+1,i}^{\,11} \mathbf{x}_i^{\,1}(t) + \mathbf{c}_{i+1}^{\,1}) + \mathbf{c}_i^{\,1}]^+$$

is GES for all $\mathbf{c}_{i+1}^{^{1}}$ and $\mathbf{c}_{i}^{^{1}}$

1. Equilibrium maps

Lemma: Piecewise-Affine Equilibrium Maps

The equilibrium of layer i is given by

$$\mathbf{x}_{i}^{*}(\mathbf{x}_{i-1}) = \mathbf{F}_{i,\lambda}\mathbf{x}_{i-1} + \mathbf{f}_{i,\lambda}, \ \forall \mathbf{x}_{i-1} \in \Psi_{i,\lambda}, \ \lambda \in \Lambda_{i}$$

where $\{\mathbf{F}_{i,\lambda}, \mathbf{f}_{i,\lambda}, \Psi_{i,\lambda}, \Lambda_i\}$ have recursive expressions

2. Multi-layer GES

Theorem: Global Exponential Stability (GES) Let $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_i \triangleq \max_{\lambda \in \Lambda_i} |\mathbf{F}_{i,\lambda}|$. If $\rho(|\mathbf{W}_{i,i}| + |\mathbf{W}_{i,i+1}|\bar{\mathbf{F}}_{i+1}|\mathbf{W}_{i+1,i}|) < 1$ then $\mathbf{x}_i^1(t)$ is GES for all \mathbf{c}_{i+1}^1 and \mathbf{c}_i^1 .

3. Time-scale separation: $\frac{\tau_i}{\tau_{i-1}} \leq \frac{1}{1.5}$ is often enough in practice

Application: Goal-Driven Selective Attention in Rodents

- 1. Data: [Rodgers & DeWeese, Neuron, 2014]
- Defining nodes (clustering neurons)
- 3. Computing $\mathbf{x}(t)$
- 4. Defining edges (brain physiology)
- 5. Finding edge weights: $\min_{a} d(\mathbf{x}_{data}, \mathbf{x}_{model})$

 $\theta = [w_{i,j}, b_{i,j}, c_i, \tau_i, x_i(0)]_{i,j}$

6. Verifying theoretical conditions:

$$\checkmark \tau_1 = 4.70 \gg \tau_2 = 2.33 \gg \tau_3 = 1.07$$

- $\checkmark \ \ \, {\rm Under} \ \, {\rm R1:} \ \, \rho\bigl(|{\bf W}_{2,2}^{\tt 11}|+|{\bf W}_{2,3}^{\tt 11}|\bar{F}_3^{\tt 1}|{\bf W}_{3,2}^{\tt 11}|\bigr)=0.42<1$
- $\checkmark \ \ \mathsf{Under} \ \mathsf{R2:} \ \rho\bigl(|\mathbf{W}_{2,2}^{11}|+|\mathbf{W}_{2,3}^{11}|\bar{F}_3^{1}|\mathbf{W}_{3,2}^{11}|\bigr)=0.13<1$



Beyond Equilibrium Attractors: Neural Oscillations

- Attractor dynamics: dynamics that settle to a stable pattern (manifold)
- 🔮 Facilitate analysis
- \bigcirc Miss transients (unless $\mathbf{x}(0)$ close to attractor)
 - Common forms:
 - 1. Equilibrium attractors
 - Isolated equilibria, as above

$$\forall i \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{^{0}}(t) \rightarrow \mathbf{0} & \text{(Inhibitory Stabilization)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i}^{^{1}}(t) \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_{i}^{^{*^{1}}}(\mathbf{W}_{i,i-1}^{^{11}}\mathbf{x}_{i-1}^{^{1}}(t) + \mathbf{c}_{i}^{^{1}}) & \text{(Tracking)} \end{cases}$$

• Continuum of equilibria (line, ring, plane, ...)

2. Oscillatory attractors

- Limit cycles (regular)
- Chaotic oscillations (irregular/noisy-like)

• Network of Wilson-Cowan oscillators

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i \dot{x}_{i,1} &= -x_{i,1} + \left[a_i x_{i,1} - b_i x_{i,2} + p_{i,1} + \sum_j A_{ij} x_{j,1} \right]_0^{m_i,} \\ \tau_i \dot{x}_{i,2} &= -x_{i,2} + \left[c_i x_{i,1} - d_i x_{i,2} + p_{i,2} \right]_0^{m_{i,2}} \end{aligned}$$

• Lack of stable equilibria (LoSE) as proxy for oscillations

Theorem: Lack of Stable Equilibria For each oscillator i, LoSE iff $d_i + 2 < a_i$ $(a_i - 1)(d_i + 1) < b_i c_i$ $(a_i - 1)m_{i,1} < b_i m_{i,2}$ $\underline{p}_{i,\ell} < p_{i,\ell}, \ \ell = 1,2$ and, if so, for the full network, LoSE iff $\exists i: p_{i,1} + \sum_j A_{ij}m_{j,1} < \overline{p}_{i,1}$





In this talk:

 \checkmark Biophysical spiking models



- \checkmark Biophysical spiking models
- $\checkmark\,$ Mean-field approximation \Rightarrow rate models

Starting Point: Biophysical Spiking Models	
Conductance-based (a.k.a. Hudgkin-Huxley) models:	
Network Dynamics	
• Node = population of neurons • State = average firing rate $\sigma(\cdot)$	
- Network dynamics (mean-field approximation): $\tau \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = -\mathbf{x}(t) + \sigma \big(\mathbf{W} \mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{p}(t) \big)$	
$\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} & \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} & \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} & \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix}$	
[+ +]	$4_{/18}$

- ✓ Biophysical spiking models
- $\checkmark\,$ Mean-field approximation \Rightarrow rate models
- \checkmark Linear-threshold approximation

tarti	ng Point: Biophysical Spiking Models
• Cc	nductance-based (a.k.a. Hudgkin-Husley) models:
Net	work Dynamics
	Node = population of neurons
	State - survey files ate
_	proximiting the significant rominanty
	Two popular approximations:
	\checkmark Kuramoto: Cubic approximation in $\mathbf{x}_i,$ linearization in $\{W_{ij}\},$ change to polar coordinates
	$\dot{\theta}_i = \omega_i + \sum_j K_{ij} \sin(\theta_j - \theta_i)$
	\rightarrow For weakly-coupled oscillators, explicit phase dynamics, $\frac{n}{2}$ states, smooth
	✓ Linear-Threshold: Piecewise-linearization of $\sigma(\cdot)$
	$\tau_i \dot{x}_i = -x_i + \left[\sum_j W_{ij} x_j + p_i\right]_0^{m_i}$
	→ For arbitrary dynamics, implicit phase and amplitude (oscillations), switched-affine

- ✓ Biophysical spiking models
- $\checkmark\,$ Mean-field approximation \Rightarrow rate models
- \checkmark Linear-threshold approximation
- \checkmark Stability analysis

Starting Point: Biophysical Spiking Models	
Conductance-based (a.k.a. Hudgkin-Hundey) models:	
Network Dynamics	
Node = population of neurons State = support files and	
Approximating the Sigmoidal Nonlinearity	
Two popular approximations:	
Equilibria and Global Stability	
Some additions: • W $\in \mathbb{P}$ if a fit is principal advanctions are Harvitz: • W $\in \mathbb{P}$ if a fit is principal information are parallel • W $\in \mathbb{C}$ if there exists $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^2 - 0$ such that for all $e \in \{0,1\}^*$ $(-1 + W^2 \operatorname{diag}(\sigma)^2) \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(-1 + \operatorname{diag}(\sigma)^2W) < 0$ Moreovery for CS • Subcaser for CS • Subcaser for CS • Subcaser for CS	
[Pawlov et al. 2005]	8/18

- ✓ Biophysical spiking models
- $\checkmark\,$ Mean-field approximation \Rightarrow rate models
- \checkmark Linear-threshold approximation
- ✓ Stability analysis
- $\checkmark\,$ Stabilization via inhibition

Starting Point: Biophysical Spliking Models	
Conductance-based (a.k.a. Hadglön-Huxley) models:	
Network Dynamics	
Node = population of neurons State = superior bios rate	
Approximating the Sigmoidal Nonlinearity	
Two popular approximations:	
Equilibria and Global Stability	
Some definitions:	
Selective Stabilization via Inhibitory Control	
• Input decomposition: $\mathbf{p}(t) = \mathbf{Bu}(t) + \tilde{\mathbf{p}}$ Higher-Order Amas	
Stabilization can/should be selective	
$x = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ x \end{bmatrix}$ to be stabilized arbitrary (active)	
Theorem: Inhibitory Stabilization	
$\mathbf{u}(t) \equiv \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ or $\mathbf{u}(t) = \mathbf{K}\mathbf{x}(t)$	
If $\dim(u)\geq \dim(x^\circ),$ there exists $u(\ell)$ such that	
$\mathbf{x}(t) \xrightarrow{\text{GES}} \mathbf{x}^* = (0, \mathbf{x}^{**})$	
a maximum and a sub-synamics is internally GES	
→ The stability of x is the sole determiner of the stabilizability of x 10/	18

- ✓ Biophysical spiking models
- $\checkmark\,$ Mean-field approximation \Rightarrow rate models
- \checkmark Linear-threshold approximation
- ✓ Stability analysis
- $\checkmark\,$ Stabilization via inhibition
- ✓ Hierarchical structures

-	Starting Point: Biophysical Spiking Models			
	• Cond	luctance-based (a.k.a. Hudgkin-Huxley) models:		
l	Netwo	ork Dynamics		
	• N	lode = population of neurons		
	App	aroximating the Sigmoidal Nonlinearity		
	т	wo popular approximations:		
	E	quilibria and Global Stability		
		Some definitions:		
		Selective Stabilization via Inhibitory Control		
		- Input decomposition: $\mathbf{p}(t) = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}(t) + \tilde{\mathbf{p}}$	ligher-Order Amas	
		Extensions to Hierarchical Structures		
		 Layer dynamics: τ.x.(t) = -x.(t) + [W₁.x.(t) + p.(t)]^m 		
	-	1. Selective activity/stabilization:	🥮 ×:	
		$\mathbf{x}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{o} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i}^{i} \\ \mathbf{x}_{j}^{i} \end{bmatrix} \text{ to be stabilized } , \mathbf{W}_{i,j} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{W}_{i,j}^{oo} & \mathbf{W}_{i,j}^{oo} \\ \mathbf{W}_{i,j}^{io} & \mathbf{W}_{i,j}^{io} \end{bmatrix}$	😻 ×.	
		2. Chain topology (information processing pathways):	(
		$\mathbf{p}_i(t) = \mathbf{B}_i \mathbf{u}_i(t) + \mathbf{W}_{i,i-1}\mathbf{x}_{i-1}(t) + \mathbf{W}_{i,i+1}\mathbf{x}_{i+1}(t) + \mathbf{c}_i$	≪ : `x @0 x	
		$\tau_1 > \tau_2 > \cdots > \tau_1 > \cdots > \tau_N$	1 Sensory	
			Input	

- ✓ Biophysical spiking models
- $\checkmark\,$ Mean-field approximation \Rightarrow rate models
- \checkmark Linear-threshold approximation
- ✓ Stability analysis
- $\checkmark\,$ Stabilization via inhibition
- ✓ Hierarchical structures
- ✓ Oscillatory attractors

Startin	g Point: Biophysical Spiking Models
• Con	ductance-based (a.k.a. Hudgkin-Huxley) models:
Netw	ork Dynamics
•	Node = population of neurons
Ap	proximating the Sigmoidal Nonlinearity
	Two popular approximations:
	Equilibria and Global Stability
	Some definitions:
	Selective Stabilization via Inhibitory Control
	+ Input decomposition: $\mathbf{p}(t) = \mathbf{Bu}(t) + \mathbf{\tilde{p}}$ Higher-Order Amas
	Extensions to Hierarchical Structures
	Layer dynamics:
	Structural Characterization of Oscillations
	• Network of Wilson-Cassin cocilitors $\begin{split} \eta_{n_{1}1} &= -x_{2,1} + \left[\alpha_{n_{2}1,3} - \beta_{n_{2}1,3} + p_{n_{1}1} + \sum_{j} A_{ij} x_{j,j} \right]_{0}^{n_{n_{1}1}} \\ \eta_{n_{1}3} &= -x_{2,3} + \left[\alpha_{n_{2}1,3} - \alpha_{n_{2}2,3} + p_{n_{1}1} \right]_{0}^{n_{n_{1}2}} \\ \bullet \ \text{Lack of stable equilibria} (LoSE) as provy for oscillations \end{split}$
	$\label{eq:constraints} \begin{array}{ c c c } \hline \mathbf{Neares Carl of State Legation} \\ \hline \mathbf{For and mainter}, \mathbf{LSE} & \mathcal{H} \\ \hline \mathbf{d}_{n} + 2 < \mathbf{a}_{n} \\ (\mathbf{a}_{n}, 1 < \mathbf{b}_{n}, \\ (\mathbf{a}_{n}, 1 < \mathbf{a}_{n}, \\ (\mathbf{a}_{n}, 1 < \mathbf{a}_{n}, \\ (\mathbf{a}_{n}, \mathbf{a}_{n}, \mathbf{a}_{n} < \mathbf{b}_{n}, \mathbf{f} = 1, 2 \\ \text{and} & \mathbf{a}_{n} \text{ for the full material}, \mathbf{LSE} & \mathcal{H} \\ \hline \mathbf{a}_{n} : \mathbf{p}_{n} + \sum_{p} A_{p} m_{n} < \mathbf{b}_{n}. \end{array} $



Questions and Comments?



Extended results available at:

- Hierarchical Selective Recruitment in Linear-Threshold Brain Networks Part I: Intra-Layer Dynamics and Selective Inhibition E. Nozari, J. Cortés, https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.01674
- Hierarchical Selective Recruitment in Linear-Threshold Brain Networks Part II: Inter-Layer Dynamics and Top-Down Recruitment E. Nozari, J. Cortés, https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.02493
- Oscillations and Coupling in Interconnections of Two-Dimensional Brain Networks E. Nozari, J. Cortés, ACC'19